Single-Doppler EnKF assimilation of high-resolution data from the 29 May 2004 OKC supercell: **Comparisons with dual-Doppler analyses.**

Lou Wicker

NOAA/National Severe Storms Lab, Norman OK

With lots of help from: Dr. Michael Biggerstaff, Daniel Betten, Dr. Ted Mansell, Dr. Conrad Ziegler

May 29, 2004 west of Geary OK

de-Doppler EnKF assimilation of high-resolution data from the 29 May 2004 OKC supercell: **Comparisons with dual-Doppler analyses.**

Lou Wicker

NOAA/National Severe Storms Lab, Norman OK

With lots of help from: Dr. Michael Biggerstaff, Daniel Betten, Dr. Ted Mansell, Dr. Conrad Ziegler

May 29, 2004 west of Geary OK

Multi-radar EnKF assimilation of high-resolution data from the 29 May 2004 OKC supercell: **Comparisons with dual-Doppler analyses.**

Lou Wicker

NOAA/National Severe Storms Lab, Norman OK

With lots of help from: Dr. Michael Biggerstaff, Daniel Betten, Dr. Ted Mansell, Dr. Conrad Ziegler

May 29, 2004 west of Geary OK



Storm-scale Analysis: Challenge of Radar Data

- Retrieval of full kinematic and thermodynamic state from 2 (or 4/5) types of observations here: radial velocity and reflectivity
- Background state uncertainties mesoscale environment
- Model errors are significant
- Observation errors not well understood
- How to verify what you get?





Timing of events during 29 May 2004 Supercell storm



Low-Level Radar Evolution



NCOMMAS Model Parameters

- 1 km horizontal grid (140 x 120 km domain)
- > 200 m vertical resolution near ground (Z(top)=22 km)
- Physics
 - no surface physics, no radiation **TKE**
- IC & BC
 - single homogeneous sounding
 - no Coriolis, open boundaries
- Microphysics:

Mansell ZVD-HV: 4 class ice, 2 moment, density of graupel and hail predicted



EnKF Parameters

Square root filter of Whitaker and Hamill

Maintain ensemble spread via additive noise no inflation used

- Add perturbations in regions where model reflectivity too low
- Add noise where reflectivity is > 30 dBZ every 4 min.
 - 1 m/s to U & V, and W
 - 0.5 K to Theta, Td

Modified Caya algorithm (normalizes perturbations)

- ensemble tends to be underspread.....
- 30 members (probably need > 45)
- Initial winds in soundings have 2 m/s noise added

Radial velocity and reflectivity were used to update all model variables except TKE and pressure.



Experiments

Radar data are thinned to a 2 km super-ob grid.

- Volume every 3 minutes with 18 tilts.
- SR2 Vr and dBZ used in all experiments (west radar)
- SR1 Vr only after 0010 UTC (east radar)
- Warm bubble "cook" from 2330 2345
- Radar assimilation
 - >0045 0000 (lots of data from SR2)
 - > 0000 0010 (little data)
 - ▶ 0010 0115 (lots of data from both radars)
- Storm structure is poor at 0010.

Treat 2330-0010 as spin-up period.





Dual-Doppler Analysis (Betten and Biggerstaff, 2009 ECCS)

- •Generated on a 750/500 m (dx/dz) grid
- Vr gridded via Cressman analysis with a radius increasing with range from radars
- Reflectivity analysis is max value at point
- NCAR software used for retrieval of Cartesian winds
- O'Brien method used for correcting divergence errors
- For this case (at least) W values strongly impacted by filtering

Choose to examine things at low elevation angles.

Kinematic Questions...

- How well does a single Doppler EnKF compare with a dual-Doppler analysis?
- Does adding a second radar improve the analysis?
- Does adding a second radar which is scanning only at low-levels improve the analysis (VORTEX2 question....)
- Qualitative comparisons here today... Iook not only a W, but U/V wind fields



Kinematic Questions...

- •How well does a single Doppler EnKF compare with a dual-Doppler analysis?
- Does adding a second radar improve the analysis?
- Does adding a second radar which is scanning only at low-levels improve the analysis (VORTEX2 question....)
- Qualitative comparisons here today... Iook not only a W, but U/V wind fields



$0027 \text{ UTC } 1 \text{ km W} \overset{\text{1} \text{ radar}}{\text{(8 EnKF cycles)}}$



$0027 \text{ UTC } 1 \text{ km W} \overset{\text{2 radars}}{\underset{(8 \text{ EnKF cycles})}{\text{ 2 radars}}$



$0027 \text{ UTC } 1 \text{ km U & V} \frac{1 \text{ radar}}{(8 \text{ EnKF cycles})}$



$0027 \text{ UTC } 1 \text{ km U & V} \frac{2 \text{ radars}}{(8 \text{ EnKF cycles})}$



$0045 \text{ UTC } 1 \text{ km W} \overset{\text{1} \text{ radar}}{\text{(8 EnKF cycles)}}$



Storm Relative Flow Max Value: $44.94 m s^{-1}$

$0045 \text{ UTC } 1 \text{ km W} \overset{\text{2 radars}}{\underset{(8 \text{ EnKF cycles})}{\text{ 2 radars}}$



$0045 \text{ UTC } 1 \text{ km U & V} \frac{1 \text{ radar}}{(17 \text{ EnKF cycles})}$



Storm Relative Flow Max Value: $44.94 m s^{-1}$

$0045 \text{ UTC } 1 \text{ km U & V} \frac{2 \text{ radars}}{(17 \text{ EnKF cycles})}$



Storm Relative Flow Max Value: $44.94 \, m \, s^{-1}$

Kinematic Questions...

- How well does a single Doppler EnKF compare with a dual-Doppler analysis?
- Does adding a second radar improve the analysis?
- Does adding a second radar which is scanning only at low-levels (z < 4 km) still improve the analysis? (question related to field programs...)
- Qualitative comparisons here today... Iook not only a W, but U/V wind fields





$0045 \ \text{UTC} \ 1 \ \text{km} \ \text{W} \ \text{(17 EnKF cycles)}$



$0045 \text{ UTC } 1 \text{ km U & V} \frac{2 \text{ radars}}{(17 \text{ EnKF cycles})}$



Storm Relative Flow Max Value: $40.21 m s^{-1}$

W-Correlations with Dual-Doppler W z = 1 km



0027 UTC Pert Surface Temp (8 EnkF cycles)









9 6 3 0 -3 -6 -9

12

-12

0045 UTC Pert Surface Temp (17 EnkF cycles)



2-Radar Shallow EnKF Pert. Pot. Temp 50000 50000 12 9 40000 40000 6 North-South Distance (km) 00005 00002 North-South Distance (km) 00005 00002 3 0 -3 -6 10000 10000 -9 adars -12 -<u>5000</u>0 -50000 -40000-30000 -20000 -10000-40000-30000 0 East-West Distance (km) East-West Distance (km)





Preliminary Conclusions

- Much to be learned from this data set many more data sets of this quality needed to examine problem (VORTEX2-2010?)
- Analyses from a single radar is it good enough?
- Multiple radar analysis clearly closer to dual-Doppler
- Can have radars scanning in different ways (deep versus) shallow) [fast versus slow?]
- Sequentially using multiple radars works (not shown).
- EnKF using Mansel ZVD microphysics appears to generate most physically realistic solution wrt reflectivity errors and surface temperatures (not shown).
- In situ thermodynamic data is critically needed to resolve analysis differences between various microphysical schemes



Future Work

- Compare DD, EnKF, and 3DVAR kinematic analyses and quantify uncertainties in all three analyses
- More detailed studies of the thermodynamic differences between experiments.
- Use the ensemble as background for other platforms analyses?
- Downscaling the ensemble analyses and using EnKF to re-analyze the surface, DoW, K-band radar as an offline DA procedure

