Cloud-resolving Hurricane Analysis and Forecasting Assimilating Airborne Doppler Observations with EnKF

Yonghui Weng and Fuqing Zhang Penn State University

Contributors: John Gamache and Frank Marks, NOAA/HRD

Special Acknowledgments: NOAA-P3 crews; TACC staff Sponsors: NSF, ONR and NOAA

Assimilate W88D Doppler Vr for Humberto'05

WRF/EnKF Forecast vs. Observations vs. 3DVAR



The WRF/3DVAR (as a surrogate of operational algorithm) assimilates the same radar data but without flow-dependent background error covariance, its forecast failed to develop the storm despite fit to the best-track observation better initially (Zhang et al. 2009 MWR)

Superobservation for Airborne Doppler Radar Winds

Available for 20+ years but never used in operational models due to the lack of resolution and/or the lack of efficient data assimilation methods



SOs: 1. Separate forward and backward scans; 2. removing data with vertical pointing angles greater than 45 degree; 3. treat every 3 adjacent full scans as one fixed-space radar (translation<5km); 4. thinning ---one bin for 5 km in radial distance and 5 degree in scanning angle; 5. use medium as SO after several additional QC criteria checking *These SOs are generated on flight of NOAA P3's; transmitted to ground in realtime*

Assimilate Airborne Doppler Vr for Katrina (2005)



05082511-1401-1441 3km windspeed, wind and SQ distribut 82511-1513-1553 3km windspeed, wind and SQ distribut 82511-1558-1638 3km windspeed, wind and SQ distribution

Assimilate Airborne Vr with EnKF for Katrina

- WRF domains: D1-D2-D3 grid sizes---40.5, 13.5, 4.5km (movable)
 Physics: WSM 6-class microphysics; YSU PBL; Grell-Devenyi CPS
- EnKF (Meng & Zhang 2008a,b; Zhang et al 2009): 30-member ensemble
 - IC/BC perturbations: WRF3DVar background uncertainty (Barker et al. 2005)
 - Covariance localization: Gaspairi&Cohn (1999) but successively smaller
 - Covariance relaxation (Zhang et al 2004)

 $(\mathbf{x}_{\text{new}}^{\text{a}})' = (1 - \alpha) (\mathbf{x}^{\text{a}})' + \alpha (\mathbf{x}^{\text{f}})'$

- Data assimilated
 - SOs from 6 flight legs at 1430, 1530
 1630, 1730, 1900, 2000UTC 25 August²⁰^oN
 - Data assimilation are performed for all domains but reduced density for D1 and D2; SO observation error 3m/s



Successive Covariance Localization (Zhang et al. 2009)

SCL is designed to assimilate dense observations that contain information about the state of the atmosphere at different scales, as is the case for hurricanes. It is also designed to reduce computation cost and sampling errors

Rationale: Assuming larger-scale errors will have larger correlation length scales and smaller-scale errors have much smaller correlation length scales, fewer observations with larger radii of influence (ROIs) are needed to constrain large-scale errors, and a larger number of observations are needed to constrain small-scale errors

CNTL experiments: SCL with different radii of influence (ROIs): 1200km (1/18 of SOs) characteristic scale for large-scale flow; 400km (1/9 of SOs) for subsynoptic or TC storm scale; 135km (1/3 of SOs) for mesoscale to convective-scale details; other ½ not used now

IC:12Z25; SO: 1401-2040 **WRF/EnKF** Performance 1000 With airborne Vr obs 980 960 30-member ensemble forecast 940 from EnKF posterior uncertainty 920 900 Katrina EnKF082512 Track 880 IC:12Z25; SO: 1401-2040 **MinSLP** Obs 860 00726 OFCL 072hr 120hr 024hr 048hr 096hr GFDL Katrina EnKF082512 max 10mWSP IC:12Z25; SO: 1401-2040 ARW 35°N EnKF MaxWSP EnKF EF 75 60 30°N s/u 8/u 30 $25^{\circ}N$ 15 95°W 75°W 80°W 0 90°W 85°W 00Z26 024hr 048hr 072hr 096hr 120hr

Katrina EnKF082512 minSLP

Verification: SFMR wind obs vs. EnKF sfc analysis



Verification: P3 flight-level wind vs. EnKF analysis



Verification: Miami Sounding 1820Z vs. EnKF analysis 19Z



WSP prior, posterior and increments 1st and last leg



20:00 D03 (4.5km)

SLP prior, posterior and increments 1st and last leg



20:00 D03 (4.5km)

T(700mb), posterior and increments 1st and last leg



20:00 D03 (4.5km)

Verification: Flight-level obs vs. EnKF analysis





700mb RH7, posterior and increments 1st and last



Verification: Flight-level obs vs. EnKF analysis



How many legs of Airborne Vr are needed?

Sensitivity to number of flight legs observations simulated

Experiment Name		End of EnKF, hence forecast					
		1430	1530	1630	1730	1900	2000
Start P3 assimilation	1430	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	A6 (cntl)
	1530		B1	B2	B3	B4	B5
	1630			C1	C2	C3	C4
	1730				D1	D2	D3
	1900					E1	E2
	2000						F1



How many legs of Airborne Vr are needed?





Concluding Remarks

- EnKF assimilation of airborne Doppler radar observations into cloud-resolving mesoscale models is promising for convective-resolving hurricane analysis and initialization, deterministic and probabilistic forecasts; impact similar to WSR88D
- Promising for realtime 4D hurricane analysis beyond Hwind*
- Successive covariance localization (SCL) and covariance relaxation again simple but useful for multiscale complex flows; it has the benefits of reducing sampling errors and computation
- Realtime convective-permitting realtime ensemble analysis and forecasts for 2008/2009 Atlantic season quite successful; sample size still limited
- Our proof-of-concept realtime success is continuing on this year