ARW/EnKF performance for the 2009 Hurricane Season

Ryan D. Torn, Univ. at Albany, SUNY

Chris Davis, Steven Cavallo, Chris Snyder, Wei Wang, James Done, NCAR/MMM



4th EnKF Workshop8 April 2010, Rensselaerville, NY

Background

- Models need high spatial resolution to resolve important features within TC such as eyewall, rainbands, etc.
- Initializing from bogus vortex can be problematic:



Vertical Motion Distribution

Time + 1 hour



Time + 5 hour



"Even with the finer-resolution GFDL initial condition, significant adjustment of the vortex occurred within the first 12 h. This shortcoming strongly supports the need for a data assimilation and initialization procedure that is specific to the AHW." - Davis et al. 2008 (WAF)



Overview

- NCAR was asked to participate in NOAA Hurricane Forecast Improvement Project (HFIP) multi-model ensemble. Required running realtime forecasts during 2009 Atlantic season
- Wanted initial conditions that:
 - Have a good estimate of environment
 - Have a "decent" estimate of TC structure
 - Does not lead to initialization problem
- Provides a good opportunity to evaluate ensemble data assimilation systems over variety of storms

Assimilation System

- WRF ARW (v3.1), 36 km horizontal resolution, 96 ensemble members, DART assimilation system.
- Observations assimilated each six hours from surface • and marine stations (P_{sfc}), rawinsondes, synoptic dropsondes, ACARS, sat. winds, TC advisory position and minimum SLP
- Initialized system at • 0000 UTC 10 August. System cycled continuously beyond that point (through 10 November)

Observation distribution valid 2009082200



Assimilation System Cont.

- Anderson adaptive inflation (inflation computed from innovation statistics and correlations)
- Adaptive localization, where radius is reduced from 2000 km when number of observations greater than 1600 within 2000 km of observation location
- Fixed Covariance boundary perturbations
- Initial condition for high-resolution forecast chosen by minimizing:

$$\sum_{j=1}^{N_{storms}} \left(\left(\frac{Lat_{i,j} - \overline{Lat_j}}{\sigma_{Lat}} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{Lon_{i,j} - \overline{Lon_j}}{\sigma_{Lon}} \right)^2 + 2 \left(\frac{MSLP_{i,j} - \overline{Adv_MSLP_j}}{\sigma_{MSLP}} \right)^2 \right)$$

2009 Storms



Verification

- Verify analysis and 6 hour forecasts against best track TC position and intensity
- Not the same as assimilated TC position and intensity
- Relationship between best track and advisory akin to analysis and background forecast

Cycling Errors





Wind Radii



Wind Radii not often discussed, but very important aspect of TC

These distances determine:

- region of wind damage
- area over which fluxes occur
- wind driven stresses for storm surge models

Davis et al. 2010

34 Knot Wind Radii



High-Resolution Forecast



Tropical Storm Erika



Erika Forecast



Wind Cross Section



Summary & Conclusions

- Initial conditions from an EnKF system lead to reduced "spin-up" problems compared to bogus schemes
- Used this approach to generate coarse ICs for highresolution forecasts during 2009 season
- Analysis has larger position errors, similar intensity errors and lower TC size errors compared to operational TC models.
- High-resolution forecasts benefit from EnKF ICs during highly-sheared storms, stems from having tilted initial vortex and explicit convection
- Several planned improvements for upcoming season including higher resolution and treatment for pre-genesis systems

PREDICT

PREDICT: PRE-Depression Investigation of Cloud-systems in the Tropics

- •15 August 30 Sept. 2010
- Base: St. Croix Virgin Islands
- NCAR G-V: 200 research hours
- 10+ disturbances sampled, 25 flights
- 550 dropsondes
- Microwave Temperature Profiler
- •Differential GPS (geopotential height on p-sfc)
- Small Ice Detector
- Cloud Particle Imager
- •CVI
- Cloud radar



Courtesy: Chris Davis, NCAR