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Issues on which EnKF still have to catch up with 4D-Var:

- Initialization of the analysis increment, internally in the analysis, e.g., by a weak (balance) constraint
- Direct minimization of the cost function, which allows for
  - The introduction of balance constraints
  - Accounting for nonlinearities in the observation operator
  - Variational QC
  - Non-Gaussian Error Statistics
Summary of Current Approach

- An (external) digital filter (finalization) is applied to all background ensemble members.

- It is hoped that the analysis will be balanced, because the analysis increment is obtained in the space of ensemble perturbations that are spanned by the filtered ensemble members.

- We all do it, because it improves analysis accuracy.

Potential Problems with Current Approach:

- It filters the full model solution, not only the increment: it affects the analysis not only through the analysis increment, but also through the background mean.

- The filtered background is not constrained by the observations at the previous time.
Semidiurnal Tidal Wave:

- A 1 hPa amplitude semidiurnal oscillation in the surface pressure in the Tropics
- The most regular periodic motion in the atmosphere
- A response of the atmosphere to the excitation due to the absorption of solar radiation by ozone in the stratosphere. This response travels in the form of a gravity wave (Chapman and Lindzen 1970).
- Any model that has stratospheric ozone as prognostic variable can easily handle it

Results are from Satterfield and Szunyogh (2010, MWR)—simulated observations of all atmospheric variables in randomly selected atmospheric columns (10% observation coverage)
Time mean absolute error of surface pressure analysis with no digital filter
The error pattern suggests that we lost the semidiurnal tidal wave from the analysis.
The Fourier analysis confirms our suspicion:

The filter wipes out the semidiurnal tide.
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Cost function with balance constraint:

\[ J(\delta x) = J_b(\delta x) + J_o(\delta x) + J_c(\delta x) \]

where the **analysis increment** is \( \delta x = \bar{x}^a - \bar{x}^b \)

Introduction of the digital filter as a weak constraint (Gustafsson 1992, Polavarapu et al. 2000, Gauthier and Thepaut 2001):

\[ J_c(\delta x) = (\delta x - \hat{\delta x})^T Q (\delta x - \hat{\delta x}) \]

\( Q \) is a metric and **filtered increment**, \( \hat{\delta x} \), is defined by

\[ \hat{\delta x} = \sum_{i=0}^{l} \alpha_i \delta x(t_i), \]

**Remark:** In most EnKF implementation \( J_o(\delta x) \) is computed in a 4-D fashion
How to Introduce the Weak Constraint into the LETKF?

In the LETKF $\delta x = X^b w$, where $X^b$ is the matrix of ensemble background perturbations and $w$ is a vector of weights. We can write the constraint as

$$J_c(w) = w^T (X^b - \hat{X}^b)^T Q (X^b - \hat{X}^b) w,$$

We add this term to one of the following two cost functions proposed in Hunt et al. (2007)

Option 1: (Nonlinear observation operator)

$$J_{b+o}(w) = (k-1)w^T w + [y^o - H(\bar{x}^b + X^bw)]^T R^{-1} [y^o - H(\bar{x}^b + X^bw)].$$

Option 2: (Linearized observation operator: quadratic cost function)

$$J_{b+o}(w) = (k-1)w^T w + [y^o - \bar{y}^b - Y^bw]^T R^{-1} [y^o - \bar{y}^b - Y^bw]$$
Option I

Computation Algorithm:

1. Compute $\bar{w}^a$, the weight that defines the mean analysis, by the direct minimization of the cost function.
2. Compute the analysis covariance matrix $P^a$ as the inverse of the Hessian of the cost function at $\bar{w}^a$.
3. Compute the weights for the analysis perturbation from $P^a$ the usual way.

Pros:
- It takes into account the nonlinearity in the observation operator.
- Nonlinear minimization can be done in parallel for each grid point: most likely cheaper than a 4D-Var using global direct minimization.

Cons:
- Complicates coding and code maintenance: $H$ cannot be pre-computed outside the analysis.
**Option I**

**Computation Algorithm:** Same as LETKF except that the analysis error covariance matrix has to be modified as

\[ P^a = [(k - 1)I + (Y^b)^T R^{-1} Y^b + (X^b - \hat{X}^b)^T Q(X^b - \hat{X}^b)]^{-1}. \]

**Pros:**
- Can be implemented as a simple change in the LETKF code

**Remarks:**
- It does not require a direct minimization, but it may be useful to code it with direct minimization if we plan to add non-quadratic terms in the future, e.g., to introduce a non-Gaussian background term as in Harlim and Hunt (2007)
Conclusions

- Initialization is an area where EnKF schemes can be (easily?) improved
- We have an idea of how to do it, but no results to show that it actually works
- Direct minimization holds promise of further improvements for EnKF. But, code implementation may be challenging
A Real-Life Tale for Young Scientists: Sometimes it pays off to do research in science and technology...
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